

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/927,424	Applicant(s) SHIPWASH, EDWARD	
	Examiner Teresa E Strzelecka	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Teresa E Strzelecka.

(3) _____.

 (2) Frank J. Mycroft.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-25, 28-33, 35-44, 46-56, 58-60, 93-96 and 103-111

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Mycroft was contacted to discuss the possibility of allowing the method claims in the application and cancelling the product claims 56, 58-60, 103 and 111, which were not allowable over prior art. Mr. Mycroft agreed to cancellation of the product claims 56, 58-60, 103 and 111. Claim 5 was lacking antecedent basis because of an amendment to claim 5. The change required is "said plurality of aminoacyl tRNA synthetases". In claim 17 the phrase "wherein said plurality of aminoacyl tRNA synthetases there is a member" needs changing to "wherein in said plurality of aminoacyl tRNA synthetases there is a member". Finally, claim 93, which was dependent from claim 32, lacked antecedent basis for the term "biorecognition elements". To eliminate the problem the claim dependency needs to be changed to claim 41. Mr. Mycroft agreed to the changes being made by Examiner's amendment..